NUNC PRO TUNC

Vogel Campbell & Blueher, P.C. Clinton W. Marrs 6100 Uptown Blvd, NE, Suite 500 Albuquerque, NM 87110-4143 Telephone: (505) 884-8444 08 JUL 17 PM 1: 36

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CARRY T

BY: ECL DEPUTY

Facsimile: (505) 875-9021 Email: <u>clinton@vogelcampbell.com</u>

7 8 9

1

2

3

4

5

6

Attorneys for Defendant Gregory Duran

10 11 12

13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 15 16

17 18

19

A WALL STREET FUND I, LTD.,

Plaintiffs,

No. 08-cv-1042 JAH (JMA)

20)
21 v.)
22 NEW WORLD CAPITAL CURRENCY FUND, L.P.)
23 NEW WORLD CAPITAL EQUITY FUND, L.P.,)
24 NEW WORLD CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC,)
25 GREGORY DURAN, and DOES 1-10,)
26

Defendants.

272829

30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

DEFENDANT GREGORY DURAN'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF PERSONAL JURISDICTION

Defendant Gregory Duran, pursuant to the Court's Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause re: Preliminary Injunction [Doc. No. 5], moved for an Order dismissing him from this case on the ground that the Court does not have jurisdiction over his person and opposed Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction Plaintiff opposed Mr. Duran's jurisdictional motion on July 10, 2008. At the hearing on July 11, 2008, the Court gave Mr. Duran until July 14 to reply to Plaintiff's opposition. This reply is limited to Mr. Duran's jurisdictional motion.

1 I.

MR. DURAN DID NOT PURPOSEFULLY AVAIL HIMSELF OF THE PRIVILEGES OF THE FORUM OF CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff bears the burden of demonstrating that the district court has personal jurisdiction over Mr. Duran. Pebble Beach Co. v. Caddy, 453 F.3d 1151, 1154 (9th Cir. 2006). To carry this burden, Plaintiff must make a prima facie showing of satisfying the first two prongs of the Ninth Circuit's three-pronged test for whether a non-resident defendant's contacts with California are sufficient to pass muster under International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310, 315 (1945) "minimum contacts" due process standard. See, e.g., Pebble Beach, 453 F.3d at 1155. Plaintiff's lack of proof fails to clear the first of these prongs—namely, whether Mr. Duran "performed some act or consummated some transaction within [California] or otherwise purposefully availed himself of the privileges of conducting activities [in California]." Id.

Using passive language that obscures the identity of the actor, Plaintiff alleges "fraudulent 5 and 3 year track record" information was communicated "through the Internet". [Reply Re: OSC Re Preliminary Injunction at 3.] Plaintiff does not proffer any evidence that Mr. Duran himself posted the information. Moreover, the Ninth Circuit held in Cybersell, Inc. v. Cybersell, Inc., 130 F.3d 414 (9th Cir. 1997), a "website advertiser" who registers a domain name and posts "an essentially passive website," but who does nothing else "to encourage residents of the forum state," does not purposefully avail himself of the benefits and burdens of the forum state. Accord Panavision International, L.P. v. Toeppen, 141 F.3d 1316 (9th Cir. 1998) (a trademark infringement case, in which the circuit court stated, "We agree that simply registering someone else's

trademark as a domain name and posting a web site on the Internet is not sufficient to subject a party domiciled in one state to jurisdiction in another.")

Plaintiff has not proffered any other evidence—still less, any *prima facie* evidence—that *Mr. Duran* purposefully availed himself of the privileges of doing business in the forum of California. Not only has Plaintiff proffered no evidence that Mr. Duran himself posted an interactive website, but Plaintiff also has not proffered any evidence that the Hedgefund.net website was anything other than an "essentially passive website".

Plaintiff—a Florida limited partnership—alleges that it acted through Mr. Buckley, its general partner. Plaintiff's sparse record shows that Mr. Buckley communicated with Mr. Duran on a handful of occasions by telephone and email. As sparse as it is, the record shows that Mr. Buckley used a Boca Raton address [see the December 27, 2007 email from Mr. Buckley shown on Exhibit B accompanying Plaintiff's Reply re: OSC re Preliminary Injunction] as well as a San Diego address. Simply stated, there is no evidence that Mr. Buckley was in California when Mr. Duran communicated with him. Given the mobility of wireless communications today, Mr. Buckley could have been in on the ground in Boca Raton, Florida, in the air 30,000 feet over North Dakota, or on the ground in San Diego when he and Mr. Duran communicated by email or telephone.

Absent this prima facie showing, Plaintiff has not shown that Mr. Duran has either (1) "purposefully availed" himself of the privilege of conducting activities in California or (2) "purposefully directed" his activities toward California. Schwarzenegger v. Fred Martin Motor Co., 374 F.3d 797, 802 (9th Cir. 2006); Pebble Beach, 453 F.3d at

1	1155-56. Plaintiff has failed to make a prima facie showing of any activity that took place
2	in California, as opposed to in New Mexico, in Florida, or in the skies above North
3	Dakota. Likewise, since Plaintiff has failed to proffer any evidence of who posted
4	information on Hedgefund.net's website or whether the website is "passive" o
5	"interactive," it has failed to make a prima facie showing that Mr. Duran himsel
6	"purposefully directed" his actions toward California.
7	WHEREFORE, Mr. Duran urges the Court to dismiss him from the action on the
8	ground that the Court does not have jurisdiction over his person.
9	Respectfully submitted,
10 11 12 13	VOGEL CAMPBELL & BLUEHER, P.C.
13	By: /s Clinton W. Marrs
l4 l5	Clinton W. Marrs
16	Attorneys for Defendant Greg Duran 6100 Uptown Blvd. NE, Suite 500
17	Albuquerque, NM 87110
18	Telephone: (505) 884-8444
9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20	I hereby certify that a true copy of the
21	foregoing paper was faxed and emailed
22	on μ day of July, 2008 to counsel
23	of record as follows
24	
25	Eric I. Michelman
26	Law Office of Eric I. Michelman
27	2301 Dupont Dr, Suite 530
28	Irvine, CA 92612
29 30	Telephone: (949) 553-1800 Facsimile: (949) 553-1880
31	Email: ericmichelman@lawfirmofbusiness.com
32	IV . I
33	MOONE GEELSE
34	MIN CITATON MAKES
35	
	\ }